Contrasting patterns of rapid molecular evolution within the *p53* network across mammal and sauropsid lineages

Courtney N. Passow^{1,5*}, Anne M. Bronikowski^{2,5,*}, Heath Blackmon^{1,4}, Shikha Parsai², Tonia S. Schwartz^{2,3}, Suzanne E. McGaugh¹

¹Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, 140 Gortner Labs, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN 55108 ²Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011 ³Present Address: Department of Biological Sciences, 101 Rouse Life Science Bldg., Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849 ⁴Present Address: Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, TX 77840

⁵Corresponding authors: cpassow@umn.edu, abroniko@iastate.edu

*These authors contributed equally.

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Cancer is a threat to multicellular organisms, yet the molecular evolution of pathways that prevent the accumulation of genetic damage has been largely unexplored. The *p53* network regulates how cells respond to DNA-damaging stressors. While there has been research on the *p53* gene and the transcription factors it encodes, we know little about *p53* network regulation. In this study, we performed comparative genetic analyses of the *p53* network to quantify the number of genes within the network that are rapidly evolving and constrained, and the association between lifespan and the patterns of evolution. Based on our previous published dataset, we used genomes and transcriptomes of 34 sauropsids and 32 mammals to analyze the molecular evolution of 45 genes within the *p53* network. We found that genes in the network exhibited evidence of positive selection and divergent molecular evolution in mammals and sauropsids. Specifically, we found more evidence of positive selection in sauropsids than mammals, indicating that sauropsids have different targets of selection. In sauropsids, more genes upstream in the network exhibited positive selection, and this observation is driven by positive selection in squamates, which is consistent with previous work showing rapid divergence and adaptation of metabolic and stress pathways in this group. Finally, we identified a negative correlation between maximum lifespan and the number of genes with evidence of divergent molecular evolution, indicating that species with longer lifespans likely experienced less variation in selection across the network. In summary, our study offers evidence that comparative genomic approaches can provide insights into how molecular networks have evolved across diverse species.

Keywords: *p53*-signaling network, lifespan, divergent molecular evolution, positive selection, mammals, sauropsids

Introduction:

Cancer is a survival threat to most multicellular organisms. This strong selective pressure has given rise to mechanisms across diverse taxa that result in cancer prevention and suppression (Tollis, et al. 2017b), including DNA repair, cellular apoptosis, and immune defenses against aberrant cells (Tollis, et al. 2017a). As cancer is generally caused by the accumulation of mutations within the cell, it is thought that if an organism has more cells (i.e. larger body size) and extended lifespan, then the incidence of cancer will be higher (Peto, et al. 1975). Nonetheless, to date, there appears to be no correlation between the incidences of cancer with body size and/or longevity across species, known as Peto's Paradox (Caulin and Maley 2011). While cancer research in the past decade has begun including a broader range of taxa (Abegglen, et al. 2015; Nagy, et al. 2007; Nunney, et al. 2015), the molecular evolution of genetic networks that prevent and repair the genetic damage spurring oncogenesis has been largely unexplored (Keane, et al. 2015). One such network is the *p53*-signaling network, which has been linked to cancer for decades (Muller and Vousden 2014).

The tumor suppression gene p53, often termed the "guardian of the genome," encodes transcription factor p53 that stabilizes the genome by regulating DNA-damage responses and cell fate decisions in response to DNA damage and stress (Levine and Oren 2009). Altered transcription of p53 in response to such stress allows p53 to direct one of three responses: DNA-repair, cell senescence, or cell apoptosis (Reinhardt and Schumacher 2012; Tyner, et al. 2002). The p53 gene along with the multitude of genes that either regulate p53 expression or that are regulated by transcription factor p53 are best envisioned as a molecular network with p53 as a central node (Sivakumar, et al. 2017). Extensive research has identified hundreds of genes directly and/or indirectly associated with the p53 network that can respond to and regulate DNA damage – with the consequence of tumor suppression (Levine, et al. 2006).

The p53 network has also been studied for its role in senescence - i.e., declining function (such as pulmonary, cardiac, and aerobic), and increasing incidences of disease (e.g., cognitive impairment, hypertension, osteoporosis, Alzheimer's, and cancer) that cause increasing mortality with advancing age. The *p53* network impacts senescence, both indirectly through its interaction with insulin-signaling and TOR pathways (see Figure 1), and directly. Indeed, *p53* is of great interest to evolutionary biologists because it can function as an antagonistically pleiotropic gene (Ungewitter and Scrable 2009) – with beneficial effects early in life (i.e., tumor suppression) and detrimental effects later in life (i.e., the accumulation of senescent cells) (Hasty, et al. 2016). For example, increased *p53* expression in two *p53* model systems resulted in increased tumor suppression but an overall decrease in longevity (Maier, et al. 2004; Tyner, et al. 2002). Thus, genes

in the p53 family of transcription factors have been extensively studied in both cancer biology (Wasylishen and Lozano 2016) and aging biology (Wiley and Campisi 2016).

Despite the intensive study of this network, we still know very little about the evolution of the *p53* network. For example, although studies have observed reduced longevity with an increased expression of *p53* (Maier, et al. 2004; Tyner, et al. 2002), the effects are not attributable solely to the *p53* gene, but may also involve other genes in the *p53* network that modify p53 activity (Kanfi, et al. 2012). Past studies on the evolution of the p53 network have focused on only a handful of organisms (Reinhardt and Schumacher 2012) and have failed to leverage the striking diversity present in cancer incidence, physiology, and senescence across amniotes (mammals and sauropsids, which is defined as avian and nonavian reptiles). Amniotes have evolved extreme metabolic and physiological plasticity in response to environmental stimuli (Schwartz and Bronikowski 2011; van Breukelen and Martin 2015). Relative to mammals, reptiles and birds have substantial diversity in body temperature and metabolic rate across the sauropsid clade, from high body temperature and metabolic rate in endothermic birds to fluctuating body temperature and metabolic rates in ectothermic reptiles (Gangloff, et al. 2016). Temperature has long been associated with mutation rate (Muller 1928), hence, metabolic rate may affect mutation rates and therefore molecular evolution (Gillooly, et al. 2005). Variation in body temperature and subsequent metabolic rate could impose diverse selection pressure on mutation repair mechanisms (e.g., the p53 network) to compensate for variation in mutation rates across sauropsids more so than in mammals. Beyond these considerations of temperature, metabolic and mutation rates, amniote lineages demonstrate great diversity in maximum lifespan (Jones, et al. 2014) many with correlated life history traits (growth, maturation, and reproduction (Ricklefs 2010). These life history traits may correlate with mechanisms for protection against and repair of DNA damage (Robert and Bronikowski 2010). Likewise, necropsy data suggest that sauropsids, including birds, exhibit overall lower cancer rates than mammals (Effron, et al. 1977). However, within sauropsids, there is notable variation among lineages in cancer incidence with crocodilians having the lowest and squamates having the highest incidences (Garner, et al. 2004). Similarly in mammals, variation in cancer incidence ranges from extremely low in naked mole-rats (Buffenstein 2005) and elephants (Abegglen, et al. 2015) to very high in wild-type and transgene mice (Bult, et al. 2014) and humans (Albuquerque, et al. 2018).

To address the lack of taxonomically broad studies in our knowledge of the evolution of the p53 network, we performed comparative genomic analyses of this network within and between the two lineages of amniotes - mammals and sauropsids. Sixty-six species were selected based on a

previous study on the molecular evolution of IIS/TOR network (McGaugh et al. 2015) to allow for subsequent comparisons. Our overall objectives were to quantify the evolutionary constraints and hot-spots within the p53 network. Such a comparative framework is necessary to understand whether sauropsids and mammals employ unique or shared evolutionary responses to stressors that cause DNA damage and that ultimately contribute to tumorigenesis. We used available genomes and transcriptomes from NCBI/Ensembl across amniotes along with additional transcriptomes that we previously generated (McGaugh, et al. 2015) to analyze the molecular evolution of KEGG *p53* network genes (Ogata, et al. 1999). We included three additional genes that interact with the *p53* network; *sirt6* (Van Meter, et al. 2011), *mapk14* (Fiordaliso, et al. 2001) and *p63* (Dötsch, et al. 2010) (Figure 1).

Throughout, we are interested in two aspects of molecular evolution. First, whether subsets of codons in protein-coding genes are experiencing positive selection in particular lineages. Second, whether specific codons in protein-coding regions are experiencing different selection pressures in different taxa (e.g., codon-specific selective constraints that differ between mammalian and sauropsid clades - hereafter "divergent molecular evolution"). Thus, we specifically tested the following three questions. (1) Do mammals or sauropsids exhibit more evidence of either of these two aspects of molecular evolution in the *p53* network? (2) Do upstream genes evolve more quickly than downstream genes in the *p53* network both within and between mammals and sauropsids? Genes upstream in a network can control flux to the downstream genes (Wright and Rausher 2010), subjecting upstream genes to greater selective constraints and more conservation (Rausher, et al. 1999). On the other hand, upstream genes may evolve more rapidly, potentially due to an increased number of interactions, and thus more pleiotropy, as compared to downstream genes (Alvarez-Ponce, et al. 2011). (3) Is there an association between species-specific lifespan and the number of genes under selection in the *p53* network (i.e., both the number of genes with evidence of positive selection and the number of genes with evidence of divergent molecular evolution) such as has been reported in other taxa (naked mole-rat: Kim, et al. 2011)?

We found that genes in the p53 network exhibited evidence of extensive positive selection and divergent molecular evolution in mammals and sauropsids. Specifically, when we tested for lineage-specific selection, we found more genes with evidence of positive selection in sauropsid lineages as compared to mammalian lineages, suggesting that mammals and sauropsids have different targets for selection within the p53 network. We also found substantial evidence of divergent molecular evolution between mammals and sauropsids, suggesting that the strengths and modes of selection have differed within the p53 network, and that the p53 network is exceptionally divergent relative to a proxy for the remainder of the genome. Moreover, our data suggests that for sauropsids, particularly squamates (snakes and lizards), the genes at the top of the regulatory network are likely the targets of recent selective forces compared to mammals. Lastly, we found a negative correlation between the maximum lifespan of a lineage and the number of genes in the network with divergent molecular evolution for that particular lineage, suggesting that species characterized by shorter lifespans have experienced modes of selection across their *p53* networks that are divergent from the remainder of the tree.

Materials and methods

Identifying candidate orthologs and generating alignments and gene annotations

To identify genes in the *p53* network we utilized our published dataset of amniotes, which used 32 mammalian and 34 sauropsid (including 10 bird; Figure 2, Table S1; McGaugh et al. 2015) genomes and transcriptomes from Genbank (Sequence Read Archive Study Accession ID: SRA062458 and SRP017466). From these, we were able to extract sequences for 42 of the 58 genes located upstream and downstream in the KEGG *p53* network (Ogata, et al. 1999), plus three additional genes related to the *p53* network (*p63, mapk14* and *sirt6*) as noted above, for a total of 45 orthologues (Tables 1, S2). We employed similar methods as in a previous study (McGaugh, et al. 2015) to curate this total of 45 orthologs in up to the 66 species. Since the branch leading to the common ancestor of mammals is the same as the common ancestor for sauropids, we also performed analyses with *Xenopus tropicalis* (frog) included as an outgroup to help polarize derived changes among the lineages of amniotes. This did not significantly alter the overall conclusions regarding evolution of this network; some individual genes differed in their significance level between analyses that included and excluded the frog genome (see supplementary material for details on methods and results). Thus, we focused on the analysis without frog for the majority of this work.

For all alignment and gene annotation analyses performed, we used the datasets generated from McGaugh et al. 2015 and followed the same methods detailed in that work. In the original alignments, we started with 74 species, which represented extensive data mining at the time these datasets were created, including caiman, loggerhead sea turtle, corn snake, European pond turtle, Hilaire's side-necked turtle, python, quail, and tuatara. These eight species were removed then and in this analysis because data available at the time were preliminary and dramatically reduced the possible number of ortholog alignments. In brief, transcriptome-derived open reading frames and genome-derived gene sequences were clustered with USEARCH to reduce redundancy among isoforms, followed by clustering with OrthoMCL (Li, et al. 2003). Multiple sequences per species were often present in the OrthoMCL clusters. To address this, we used USEARCH to identify clusters of sequences (Edgar 2010), within the OrthoMCL clusters. If a single species still had multiple sequences in the USEARCH cluster, we used the sequence from each species that was closest to the centroid identified for that particular cluster by USEARCH (see supplementary material).

Within the *p53* network, 12 of the 45 genes were split among separate USEARCH clusters that were often taxon-specific clusters (e.g. a sauropsid cluster and a mammal cluster). Thus, clusters for each of these 12 genes were combined post-USEARCH and realigned into a single alignment per gene with only a single sequence representing each species (the longest was chosen if there were multiple sequences per species after combining clusters, see supplementary material for details). Amino acid alignments were performed with MSAprobs (Liu, et al. 2010). Alignments were back-translated using the MSAprobs amino acid alignments and the original nucleotide sequences using RevTrans (Wernersson and Pedersen 2003). The command-line version of Translator X was used with the MSAProbs amino acid alignments to produce GBlocks-cleaned amino acid and nucleotide alignments (Abascal, et al. 2010; Talavera and Castresana 2007). Alignments for focal genes were manually corrected for misaligned indels, which usually occurred near the ends of the sequences.

To annotate and curate a focal gene set for the *p53* network, we used BLASTp version 2.2.28 (Altschul, et al. 1990) to identify the best match for every sequence in each alignment using the UniProt database as the BLAST database. We made a separate BLAST database of KEGG pathway p53 network proteins from chicken or anole (Kanehisa and Goto 2000). We classified our annotation as correct if both the UniProt and KEGG database BLAST searches resulted in identical best blast hits. If paralogs were found through this method, we excluded that particular sequence and realigned using procedures described above.

From our original alignments, we identified 1,414 genes to serve as a proxy for the remainder of the genome and we refer to these as "control" genes. The control genes contained sequences for each of the 66 species. We included only genes that contained the total set of 66 species in the control gene set as a complete phylogenetic tree was the most efficient input for PAML for our control genes, and this option did not require deleting taxa, remaking trees, and redesignating PAML foreground branches for each of the 1414 genes.

Statistical tests of molecular evolution

To identify evidence of positive selection and divergent selection histories between mammals and sauropsids in *p53* network genes, we used the codeml program in PAML version 4.7 (Yang 2007). We used the phylogenetic tree constructed previously (McGaugh, et al. 2015). In brief, to construct the tree, we combined results from previous studies (dos Reis, et al. 2012; Hedges and Kumar 2009; Kimball, et al. 2013; McCormack, et al. 2013; Perelman, et al. 2011; Thomson and Shaffer 2010; Wiens, et al. 2012) to generate a tree topology with no branch lengths. Newick Utilities was used to prune this base tree to remove any taxa that were missing in the alignment for each focal gene (Junier and Zdobnov 2010). For analyses that required branch lengths, we used median dates from TimeTree (Hedges, et al. 2006; Kumar, et al. 2017). We used PAML to calculate omega (ω), which is defined as the rate of nonsynonymous substitutions per nonsynonymous sites (dN) over the rate of synonymous substitutions per synonymous sites (dS) in a protein coding sequence (Goldman and Yang 1994).

To assess the probability that specific genes on a branch of the tree experienced positive selection, branch-site models were applied to each gene individually (Zhang, et al. 2005). Branch-site models test whether specific user-chosen "foreground branches" exhibit a different ω from background branches (i.e. the remainder of the tree). Specifically, the branch-site test compares a model with a subset of positively selected sites in the foreground branch/clade (Yang 2007) versus a model where ω is fixed and equal to one (null model) using a Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT). For each LRT, the test statistic was compared to a 1:1 mixture of χ^2 distributions with one and zero degrees of freedom (Goldman and Whelan 2002). P-values were corrected for multiple tests via sequential Bonferroni (Holm 1979), though using FDR produced nearly identical results (data not shown). Sequential Bonferroni methods were used, as standard Bonferroni correction may be overly conservative. We performed a separate sequential correction for each branch-site test.

For each gene in the *p53* network, we first set either the ancestral sauropsid branch or the entire sauropsid clade in the foreground branch. We then repeated this with either the ancestral mammal branch or the entire mammal clade as the foreground branch. In addition, we performed separate tests setting the foreground branch as the branch leading to squamates (lizards and snakes combined), lizards, snakes, turtles, crocodilians, and birds for testing within sauropsids as well as primates, rodents, marsupials, bats, and monotremes for testing within mammals. We focused on specific sauropsid and mammalian groups where previous research that quantified variation in either the p53 gene and/or network (e.g., Abegglen, et al. 2015; Alibardi 2016; Seim, et al. 2013) or where species exhibited notable lifespan differences (Kim, et al. 2011). For example, we included a test of the branch leading to elephants as substantial research has been done on copy

number variation in p53 in elephants, and they are a long lived species with very few mutations in p53 (Abegglen, et al. 2015; Sulak, et al. 2016). Bayes Empirical Bayes output was used to identify the specific sites with strong evidence of positive selection.

We used clade model C (Bielawski and Yang 2004) to test for divergent molecular evolution (i.e., evidence that ω in a focal clade differed from ω estimated from the rest of the tree (Yang and Bielawski 2000). Unlike the branch-site test that identifies evidence of positive selection, the clade model tests for divergent ω between clades but does not constrain the ω to be >1. For the clade models, we tested entire clades and not ancestral branches leading to particular clades. For the null hypothesis, we used the M2a_rel model (Weadick and Chang 2012). Significance was assessed via a LRT between the null (no difference in ω between two clades) and alternative models (differences in ω between the test clade and the remainder of the tree). P-values were adjusted with sequential Bonferroni (Holm 1979) as described above. For all focal genes that were significant via the clade model, we compared the ω values (i.e., dN/dS) for each clade via paired Wilcoxon tests and Chi-squared tests.

Network Location Effects on Molecular Evolution

We utilized Chi-squared tests to determine whether the number of genes in the network identified with evidence of positive selection (branch-site tests) or divergent molecular evolution (clade model C) differed within upstream and downstream genes, and within or among clades. For this analysis, genes are classified as "upstream" or "downstream" based on their direct or indirect interaction with p53 (Figure 1). Therefore, genes outside the *p53* network (*sir6, mapk14* and *p63*) were included with upstream genes because they interact with p53. Because sample sizes on these Chi-squared tests are often small we calculated p-values using 2000 Monte Carlo Simulations (Hope 1968).

Measures of Lifespan

Species-specific maximum lifespan data were downloaded from the AnAge database (Tacutu, et al. 2012). If no data were available for a species, we performed a literature search to identify this species-specific maximum lifespan (Table S1). For focal groups that included more than one species, we defined maximum lifespan as the median of the distribution of species-specific maximum lifespans (See Table S2). To test for a relationship between a lineage's maximum lifespan and the pattern of molecular evolution within the *p53* network, we performed linear regressions of the number of genes that were significant in each lineage (after sequential Bonferroni correction)

in both species-specific branch-site and clade model tests on the mean of the maximum lifespans for species in each clade. We first performed a standard linear regression using the lm function in R. Then, to test whether a phylogenetic correction was necessary, we calculated the Blomberg K statistic based on the residuals of the standard regression using the R package Phytools (Blomberg, et al. 2003; Revell 2012). To account for phylogeny, we used the tree with branch lengths (described above) and the GLS function from the R package nlme and specified a correlation structure using the corBrownian function from the R package ape (Paradis, et al. 2004; Pinheiro, et al. 2014). Exploratory analyses indicated that results from standard and phylogenetically-corrected regressions were quantitatively different but qualitatively similar. All tests were run with an alpha level of 0.05.

Results

p53 network alignments

We created alignments for 45 genes within the *p53* network (Figure 1, Table 1). The number of species ranged between 45 – 66 per alignment (mean = 57.8, median = 59, mode = 62; Table S2). Of the 45 genes, we obtained sufficient species coverage of genes upstream and downstream (genes upstream and including *p53* and *mdm2* = 10 and genes downstream *p53* in the network = 35).

Unique evolutionary rates in the p53 network between sauropsids and mammals

We performed pairwise dN/dS comparisons first to quantify the difference in evolutionary rates between the p53 network genes in sauropsids and mammals compared to a control set of genes. Of the 45 genes in the *p53* network with sufficient numbers of species (N \geq 45), twelve (*bax, bid, casp8, cdkn1a, fas, gtse1, mdm2, p48, p53, perp, serpine1*, and *shisa5*) were split among multiple USEARCH clusters (see Materials and Methods) and were combined *post hoc* and then realigned. In many cases, these genes were split into taxonomic clusters (e.g. the sauropsids were split from the mammals), supporting that they were likely exceptionally divergent genes.

As expected, we found that these split and *post hoc* combined genes were more divergent as compared to the remainder of the genes in the network that had a single dominant cluster per gene (median combined $\omega = 0.22$, median not combined $\omega = 0.07$, Kruskal-Wallis = 17.67, df = 1, p < 0.01, Table S3). Because each control gene was derived from a single dominant cluster, we limited our dN/dS comparisons with these 1,414 control genes to the 33 (out of 45) *p53* network genes that formed a single dominant cluster (i.e., excluding the 12 noted above). For each gene, we used the median of all pairwise dN/dS measures between each sauropsid and mammal (see

supplemental material) and found that genes in the *p53* network exhibited larger dN/dS values between sauropsids and mammals than control genes (e.g. a proxy for rest of the genome). When examining the top 5% of dN/dS median values among the 1414 control + 33 focal genes, eight genes from the focal gene set appeared in the top 5% (odds-ratio 6.75 [95% CI 2.93,15.55]), indicating that focal genes were ~7 times more likely to exhibit dN/dS in the top 5% compared to the control set (Table S4). Thus, even when we excluded the 12 most divergent *p53* network genes, the remaining *p53* network genes contained faster evolving components as compared to a proxy for the remainder of the genome, suggesting this network has been strongly selected on during the evolution of sauropsids and mammals.

Positive selection in the p53-network using branch-site models

Since the evolutionary rate of the p53 network had faster evolving components compared to the background set, we examined genes with evidence of positive selection between mammals and sauropsids using species-specific branch-site models. Within the *p53* network, a total of 31 out of 45 genes were significant after Bonferroni correction in at least one branch-site test for positive selection (total genes in Tables S5-S7 that are bold-face with asterisks), and a substantial proportion of the network exhibited evidence for positive selection along the branches leading to mammals and/or sauropsids (Table S6, S7), indicating that these changes may have been important in the evolution of the two major amniote groups.

Individual lineages exhibited evidence of positive selection throughout the *p53* network (Figure 3A, Table S6, S7). For example, after correction for multiple testing, all individually examined lineages of sauropsids exhibited evidence of genes under positive selection (Figure 3A). In contrast to sauropsids, several mammalian lineages exhibited no positive selection within the p53 network (rodents, primates, and bats after multiple testing corrections (Table S6, S7)). Marsupials, the monotreme, and the elephant were the only tested lineages of mammals that exhibited evidence of positive selection (Figure 3A, Table S6). Results were consistent from alignments containing frog except one gene in primates exhibited evidence of positive selection (Table S6-S7)). Therefore, within the major clades, selection on this network is more concentrated in sauropsids, particularly in squamates (Figure 3A; Table S6-S7).

Since within the *p53* gene itself there were multiple codons with evidence of positive selection, we mapped the amino acids residues onto the human p53 protein reference sequence (Figure S1A). For the branch-site test of the mammalian ancestral branch, we identified one site in the p53 DNA binding domain with evidence of positive selection [100Q in most mammals –> P in

Chiroptera and Caniformia, H in Hystricomorpha (guinea pigs and naked mole-rat) and T in sauropsids]. For the mammalian clade, two sites in the tetramerization domain — a domain necessary for DNA-binding and other functions (Chene 2001) — had evidence of positive selection: 337R in most mammals -> N in guinea pig and Chinese softshell turtle, and R in most squamates; and site 342R in most mammals -> L in elephant, W in shrew, S in vole, Q in squirrel, and K in all sauropsids. When elephant was set in the foreground, four sites within a six amino acid window were found to have evidence positive selection (Figure S1B). While the function of these changes is not evident, they are located in the DNA binding domain and within the region that has been documented to interact with the following proteins: AXIN1, HIPK1, FBXO42, CCAR2, and ZNF385A (Figure S1B). When the branch leading to sauropsids was in the foreground, we identified one site in the nuclear export signal domain of p53 with evidence of positive selection (345N in all mammals, turtles, and crocodilians -> L in most squamates except for R in alligator lizard). This same substitution was significant for positive selection both when the branch leading to squamates (lizards and snakes) and the sauropsid clade were placed in the foreground. Indeed, when the sauropsid clade was placed in the foreground, a total of 25 sites (including 345N) were significant for positive selection. Finally, there were four sites with evidence of positive selection within lizards specifically, two of which were in the bipartite nuclear localization signal domain. This analysis suggests that the p53 network, particularly p53 itself has been a target of selection throughout amniote evolution, and these amino acid changes may provide interesting avenues for future work.

P53 network genes with evidence of divergent molecular evolution based on clade model C

Clade models were used to test for divergent molecular evolutionary regimes in different clades of mammals and sauropsids relative to the rest of the tree. Note, clade models are less prone to false positives than branch-site models and better account for among-site variation in selective constraint (Weadick and Chang 2012). For both the sauropsid and mammalian clades, the molecular evolution for each clade is different relative to the remainder of the tree for 35 - 44% of the genes examined (Table S8-S9). In lineage-specific tests, squamates, birds, rodents and marsupials exhibited the most genes experiencing divergent molecular evolution (Figure 3B; Table S8, S9). Approximately, 1/3rd of the tested genes in these lineages exhibited evolutionary patterns that were significantly divergent from the rest of the tree.

Enrichment of significant genes located upstream versus downstream in the p53 network is driven by sauropsids

We also tested whether genes upstream or downstream in the network evolve quicker within and between mammals and sauropsids. Hence, we tested for enrichment of genes that were significant for PAML tests based on their placement in the network using Chi-squared tests and Monte Carlo simulations. For the branch-site models, we found that sauropsids had a higher proportion of upstream relative to downstream genes under positive selection in the *p53* network ($\chi^2 = 6.2042$; p-value = 0.0245). In contrast, mammalian lineages exhibited no difference between upstream and downstream genes in the numbers that experienced positive selection ($\chi^2 = 0.017$; p-value = 1.0). In line with these results, sauropsids also had a significantly larger proportion of upstream genes under positive selection compared to upstream genes in mammals ($\chi^2 = 4.6459$; p-value = 0.0465), but no difference was present between sauropsids and mammals in the proportion of downstream genes under selection ($\chi^2 = 0.1125$; p-value = 0.8336).

Since more genes with evidence of positive selection were upstream in the network for sauropsids, we tested whether a specific lineage was driving this pattern. We used Monte Carlo simulations to test for an overabundance of genes experiencing positive selection upstream or downstream in the network for each sauropsid lineage tested. We found that only squamates exhibited significant enrichment for upstream genes compared to mammals, ($\chi^2 = 6.9605$; p-value = 0.0155) and this relationship is consistent for alignments including frog ($\chi^2 = 4.150$; p-value = 0.046; Tables S10, S11). Note, when frog is included, we also see a significant enrichment of positively selected downstream genes in mammals relative to sauropsids ($\chi^2 = 4.306$; p-value = 0.047; Table S11), however this relationship is a weak trend, and may be driven by the poor alignments (see supplementary material).

Unlike the branch-site tests, for the clade models, we found no evidence that upstream versus downstream genes were subject to more divergent molecular evolution for any comparison (Table S10, S11). Therefore, our data suggest that network location is significantly associated with propensity to be a target of positive selection and squamates (lizards and snakes) are likely driving the enrichment of upstream genes under positive selection observed in sauropsids.

Associations of maximum lifespan and molecular evolution in the p53 network

As many mammals and sauropsids demonstrate diversity in lifespan and incidences of cancer, we tested if there was an association between species-specific lifespan (Table S1) and the number of genes under selection within the *p53* network. Using the sequential Bonferroni corrected p-values,

we observed no significant correlation between maximum lifespan and the number of genes under positive selection in the network ($\beta = 0.002$, R² = -0.11, p-value = 0.96). When frog was included, we saw a weak, significant correlation between genes under positive selection in the network and maximum lifespan ($\beta = 0.056$, R² = 0.08, p-value = 0.050, Figure S2).

In contrast, for clade model C, we found a negative association of maximum lifespan with the number of genes experiencing divergent ω in respective clades. Longer-lived species have fewer genes with significantly different ω in the focal clade than in the remainder of the tree as compared to short-lived species (Figure 3; $\beta = -0.116$, $R^2 = 0.42$, p-value = 0.02). Likewise, when frog was included, we saw similar results, (Figure S3; $\beta = -0.09$, $R^2 = 0.50$, p-value = 0.01). Notably, the clade model measures differences in ω between the foreground and background; hence the genes could be under purifying or positive selection. Specifically, this negative correlation between maximum lifespan and the number of genes with divergent ω could be due to some foreground clades exhibiting more purifying selection than the remainder of the tree (ω foreground < ω background) and other foreground clades exhibiting more positive selection than the remainder of the tree (ω foreground > ω background). Therefore, we calculated a statistic $\Delta \omega$, which is the difference in ω calculated for the focal (foreground) taxa relative to the background taxa in each clade model. Generally, we interpret positive values of $\Delta \omega$ to suggest more positive selection in the foreground taxa relative to the background taxa, while negative $\Delta \omega$ values suggest purifying selection in the foreground taxa. We found that both positive and negative $\Delta \omega$ scores drive significant clade models for taxa with short lifespans (Figure S4). In sum, it appears that rodents and marsupials (i.e., animals with shorter lifespans) exhibit more genes with divergent evolutionary patterns relative to the rest of the tree than do organisms with longer lifespans (e.g., crocodilians, elephants, and primates).

One potential concern is that variation in lifespan within a clade strongly influences this result. For instance, primate maximum lifespans vary by as much as an order of magnitude. To explore the impact of this variation on our analysis, we also performed a weighted least squares regression where the weight placed on each lineage was equal to the inverse of the variance in lifespans in the lineage. The results from this weighted regression yielded regression coefficients and significances that were qualitatively similar to the unweighted analysis (Table S12).

Discussion:

The *p53* network prevents tumorigenesis and is a prominent focus of cancer biology (Agarwal, et al. 1998). Past research has identified evidence of positive selection across genes and pathways associated with apoptosis and cancer in mammals (Crespi and Summers 2006; Gaur, et al. 2017; Kosiol, et al. 2008). Across diverse taxa however, very little is known about the evolution of the *p53* network and its association with cancer (Aktipis, et al. 2015; Levine, et al. 2006). Yet, there is widespread agreement that a comparative perspective on oncology, and the genes underlying cancer development, can provide insights into conserved and novel solutions to the problem of tumorigenesis across the tree of life (Tollis, et al. 2017b). For example, a previous study found evidence that sauropsids have lower incidence of cancer than mammals (Effron, et al. 1977). In mammals, elephants and naked mole-rats exhibit low cancer incidences (Abegglen, et al. 2015; Buffenstein 2005). Thus, our wider taxonomic examination of the evolution of the *p53* network is relevant to an understanding of the genetics underlying variation in cancer prevalence across amniotes.

p53 network genes are outliers in evolutionary rates between sauropsids and mammals

Across mammal and sauropsid taxa, many evolutionary innovations have arisen in association with adapting to diverse conditions (Schwartz and Bronikowski 2011; van Breukelen and Martin 2015), including variation in body temperature and metabolism (Gangloff, et al. 2016). In turn, these may have been facilitated by substantial molecular evolutionary shifts (Bromham 2011; McGaugh, et al. 2015). In this study, we found that genes throughout the *p53* network exhibited divergence within and between the sauropsid and mammal clades. This finding is similar to our previous study demonstrating both sauropsids and mammals exhibited divergence in a large proportion of genes associated with IIS/TOR network, which regulates lifespan, reproduction, metabolic diseases and cancer (McGaugh, et al. 2015). Comparing dN/dS between *p53* network genes and a proxy for the rest of the genome (i.e., *p53* network genes = 33 and non-*p53* network genes = 1,414, see supplementary material for details), we found that the *p53* network genes are ~ 7 times more likely to be in the top 5% of dN/dS values compared to the proxy for the rest of the genome (Table S4). This finding is certainly a conservative estimate given that we removed from this comparison *p53* network genes whose great divergence precluded a single dominant cluster (*bax, bid, casp8, cdkn1a, fas, gtse1, mdm2, p48, p53, perp, serpine1*, and *shisa5*).

Like many comparative studies of this nature, much of our analysis is dependent on synonymous mutations being effectively neutral and nonsynonymous mutations having a fitness effect by changing an amino acid sequence. Such concerns are important to consider because divergences between different sauropsid lineages are much deeper in time than divergences between mammalian lineages, and these deeper divergences may be more susceptible to saturation in synonymous changes, among other impacts on our analyses. In addition, across such a broad sampling of taxa, and across geographic regions within sampled taxa, it is quite likely that effective population sizes vary greatly. If some lineages are characterized by strikingly smaller effective population size, then many amino acid changes may behave as neutral mutations (Ohta 1992). Conversely, in lineages with increased effective population size, some synonymous sites may be under selection for translational efficiency (Waldman, et al. 2011). Any of these possibilities could lead to a bias in our estimate of dN/dS ratios and subsequent analyses described in this manuscript.

Tumor suppressor gene p53 shows evidence of positive selection

Remarkably, one of the most frequently significant genes was p53 itself, particularly when frog was not included. We identified evidence of positive selection in the p53 gene in mammal (elephants) and sauropsid (squamates and crocodiles) lineages, as well as the branch leading to all sauropsids (Figure 3A, Table S6). When frog was included, only elephants were significant for the branch-site test (Table S7). p53 has been a major focus in human cancer research since the discovery of its association with tumor suppression three decades ago (Finlay, et al. 1989). Tumor-associated mutations usually occur in the region of the p53 gene that encodes the DNA binding domain of the protein, and ultimately inactivates the apoptotic function of p53 (Kruiswijk, et al. 2015). Previous phylogenetic analysis of p53 has uncovered positive selection that acted on residues influencing the binding of p53 to DNA in mammals (Pintus, et al. 2007). Our results reveal that taxa with evidence of positive selection in the p53 gene (Figure S1) are those with some of the lowest incidences of cancer reported in amniotes (elephant: Abegglen, et al. 2015; snakes and lizards: Effron, et al. 1977; crocodiles and turtles: Garner, et al. 2004).

Positive selection across lineages for p53 network genes

We found that nearly two-thirds of the 45 genes we evaluated in this network exhibited evidence of positive selection in at least one branch-site test (i.e., in at least one lineage), and 31 - 38% of all genes in the network were significant for branch-site tests in multiple taxonomic groups (Figure 3A, Table S6-S7). This is similar to a previous study focusing on six mammal genomes that found evidence of positive selection (albeit on different genes in the network) acting on the *p53* network (Kosiol, et al. 2008). The groups with the most genes under positive selection consistently among analyses with and without frog were squamates, for reptiles and monotremes for mammals (Table

S6-S7). Interestingly, the trend with squamates is similar to previous research on IIS/TOR (McGaugh et al. 2015), which is a network that interacts with the p53 network. Many of the genes under positive selection directly interact with p53 – either as regulators of p53 in the upstream portion of the network (*chek2*, *mdm2*, *atr*), or as targets of p53 (*pidd* in an apoptosis pathway; *p48* in a DNA-damage-repair pathway). Whether this represents correlated evolutionary changes in these genes in concert with the specific amino acid changes that have accumulated in the *p53* gene across diverse lineages (Figure S1) or independent molecular evolution will be an exciting future area of research.

More broadly, non-model organisms may reveal much about p53 and associated network genes. For example, in elephants the duplicate gene *lif6* responds to DNA damage by inducing apoptosis and is up-regulated by p53 (Vazquez, et al. 2018). This gene is under positive selection in elephants and appears to be associated with reduction of cancer incidence despite increased body size in this lineage. We expect similar deep explorations into *p53* and associated genes in non-model systems will yield fruitful results.

Divergent molecular evolution across lineages for p53 network genes

Most of the *p53* network genes we examined exhibited evidence of divergent molecular evolution in one or more lineages relative to the remainder of the tree using clade model C (Figure 3B, Table S8-S9). In practice, this means that for most genes, the specific evolutionary pressures each gene has experienced has varied widely across species with rodents, marsupials, bats, and squamates exhibiting the highest number of significant genes.

Similar, to the branch-site test, we identified evidence for divergent molecular evolution for p53 in squamates and the entire mammalian clade (Figure 3B, Table S8-S9), further underscoring our interpretation above that the p53 gene has been a target of selection in many amniote species, but in ways that vary among species. Interestingly, we also found strong evidence for divergent molecular evolution across mammals (marsupials and rodents) and sauropsids (lizards, turtles, and birds) in p53's homolog p63 (Figure 3B). While there has been substantial research on p53 in the context of cancer suppression, p63 is complex and has given rise to proteins that both functionally resemble and counteract p53, indicating that p63 may have different physiological functions in the p53 protein family that need to be further explored (Yang, et al. 2002).

Enrichment in upstream genes under selection

Organization of genes in a molecular pathway can influence the impact that mutations might have on the target phenotype (Cork and Purugganan 2004). For example, more highly connected genes (e.g., core genes, which are defined by the number of other genes the core gene is directly connected with) (Hahn and Kern 2004) or genes at branch points in a pathway (Flowers, et al. 2007) are expected to exhibit different evolutionary rates than the peripheral genes with fewer connections. In this study, we found that a higher number of genes upstream in the network had evidence of positive selection in sauropsids than mammals (Table S10, S11), which indicates that the earliest-acting genes are the predominant targets of selection in sauropsid taxa in the p53network. This finding is similar to previous studies that suggest upstream genes in metabolic pathways are targets of positive selection (McGaugh, et al. 2015; Ramsay, et al. 2009). Our data suggest that squamates (snakes and lizards) are likely driving this signature of upstream genes enriched for positive selection in the network. These findings are in agreement with a larger body of work that indicates that squamates have evolved differentially relative to other tetrapods (Castoe, et al. 2013; Castoe, et al. 2009). Unique adaptations in this clade — such as tail regeneration in lizards (Alibardi 2016) and gut regression/regeneration in pythons (Andrew, et al. 2017) —may promote this signature of positive selection in the *p53* network.

Relation between maximum lifespan and p53 network molecular evolution

Senescence (mortality acceleration with advancing age), like cancer, is seen across the tree of multicellular life (Jones, et al. 2014). Simply by living longer, species characterized by relatively longer lifespans should be at higher risk of disease due to accumulating somatic mutations (Gorbunova, et al. 2014). Nonetheless, in nature we find this is not the case as longer-lived organisms actually have lower incidences of age-related diseases (Peto, et al. 1975). Decreased incidences of cancer in longer-lived species in nature have been associated with two non-mutually exclusive mechanisms. The first is copy number expansion in tumor suppressor genes. While copy number expansion is generally detrimental (Hastings, et al. 2009), copy number alterations can be beneficial as seen in longevity and cancer resistance seen in elephants (Abegglen, et al. 2015) and super-*p53* transgenic mice (García - Cao, et al. 2002). The second mechanism is increased selective pressures on genome maintenance systems that potentially reduce the accumulation of somatic mutations (Keane, et al. 2015; MacRae, et al. 2015), and thus can lead to longer lifespan (Jobson, et al. 2009). Nonetheless, to date a systematic analysis of the evolution of stress response pathways across diverse taxa with diverse lifespans is lacking (but see: MacRae, et al. 2015; McGaugh, et al. 2015).

In this study, while we found little association between maximum lifespan and the number of genes with evidence of positive selection, we found a negative relationship between maximum lifespan and the number of genes with evidence of divergent molecular evolution (Figure 4). This means that species or lineages characterized by longer lifespans have fewer genes that vary significantly in their selection regimes relative to the rest of the tree, suggesting that both positive and purifying selection are driving this negative correlation (Figure S4). Indeed, upstream genes with evidence of positive selection in the clade model tests were more commonly associated with species having shorter maximum lifespans (< 30 years; Figure S4) whereas genes with evidence of purifying selection relative to the rest of the tree were evenly distributed throughout the taxa.

Few comparative genomic aging studies to date have focused on longer-lived mammals (but see: Buffenstein 2005; Gorbunova, et al. 2014; Kim, et al. 2011), and even fewer still on sauropsids (but see: Reding, et al. 2016; Shaffer, et al. 2013). Yet these species may have diverse mechanisms associated with resistance to aging and thus age related diseases (Buffenstein 2005). Taken together, our three lines of evidence suggest that species with longer maximum lifespans are more resistant to variation in molecular evolutionary forces – be they positive or purifying selection – that are divergent between mammals and sauropsids. Possible reasons for this include longer generation times over which molecular evolution can act such that the lack of divergent evolution is merely a by-product of longer lifespan. Alternatively, there may be constraints that impose limits to molecular changes overall due to the need for somatic maintenance during the extended reproductive lifespan of longer-lived species. Other studies have found an association between lifespan and positive selection in different stress-response pathways such as protective mechanisms associated with DNA repair (Kim, et al. 2011) and inflammation (Fang, et al. 2014).

In conclusion, comparative genomic analyses across a wide breadth of biodiversity can reveal shared and unique solutions to stress (McGaugh, et al. 2015) and disease (Meadows and Lindblad-Toh 2017). While some pathways may be highly conserved across taxa both in gene content and gene sequences, most pathways explored to date have diverged across diverse lineages (Tollis, et al. 2017b). We find that, overall; the *p53* network is enriched for genes with high divergence between mammals and sauropsids. Yet, the strength of both positive selection and divergent molecular evolution varied substantially across genes and taxa (mammals and sauropsids). We also identified variation in selective pressures in different portions of the network, driven predominantly by enrichment of significantly positively selected genes in squamates in the upstream portion of the network. Notably, we also found that longer-lived species have fewer genes with divergent molecular evolution (clade model C tests) among lineages, suggesting constraints in

the modes of selection for species with longer lifespans in the *p53* network. In summary, our study extends comparative oncology studies, demonstrating evidence that comparative genomic approaches can provide insights into how networks, like the *p53* network, have evolved across diverse species, and can lead to the identification of novel molecular targets for future treatments.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary files, including Figures S1-S4 and Tables S1-S12, are available online.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the McGaugh, Brandvain, and Bronikowski labs for feedback on earlier drafts of the manuscript. We also thank the Minnesota Supercomputing Institute without which this work would not be possible. C.N.P and H.B. were supported by University of Minnesota Grand Challenges Postdoctoral Grants. S.P. was supported by a grant from the Iowa State University Center for Integrated Animal Genomics. T.S.S. was supported by Fellowships from the National Science Foundation (IGERT in Computational Biology 0504304, and GK-12 DGE-0947929). This work was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (IOS 09-22528) and the National Institutes of Health (R01-AG049416) to A.M.B. We dedicate this research to the memory of M. C. McGaugh.

Author contributions

A.M.B., S.P., T.S.S. and S.E.M. designed and performed the research. C.N.P., H.B, and S.E.M analyzed the data. C.N.P, A.M.B. and S.E.M wrote the first draft of the manuscript and all authors contributed to the final manuscript.

Data accessibility

A majority of transcriptome and genome sequences were obtained from NCBI Sequence Read Archive. For 18 liver transcriptomes generated, the raw reads can be found at (Sequence Read Archive Study Accession ID: SRA062458 and SRP017466). Transcriptome assemblies, annotations, summaries, and alignments are available in Dryad from a previous study (McGaugh et al. 2015; dx.doi.org/10.5061.dryan.vn872).

References

- Abascal F, Zardoya R, Telford MJ 2010. TranslatorX: multiple alignment of nucleotide sequences guided by amino acid translations. Nucleic Acids Res. 38: W7-W13.
- Abegglen LM, et al. 2015. Potential mechanisms for cancer resistance in elephants and comparative cellular response to DNA damage in humans. JAMA. 314: 1850-1860.
- Agarwal ML, Taylor WR, Chernov MV, Chernova OB, Stark GR 1998. The p53 network. J Biol Chem. 273: 1-4.
- Aktipis CA, et al. 2015. Cancer across the tree of life: cooperation and cheating in multicellularity. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 370: 20140219.
- Albuquerque TA, Drummond do Val L, Doherty A, de Magalhães JP 2018. From humans to hydra: patterns of cancer across the tree of life. Biological Reviews. 93: 1715 - 1734.
- Alibardi L 2016. Immunolocalization of a p53/p63-like protein in the regenerating tail of the wall lizard (*Podarcis muralis*) suggests it is involved in the differentiation of the epidermis. Acta Zoologica. 97: 395-406.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 215: 403-410.
- Alvarez-Ponce D, Aguadé M, Rozas J 2011. Comparative genomics of the vertebrate insulin/TOR signal transduction pathway: a network-level analysis of selective pressures. Genome Biol Evol. 3: 87-101.
- Andrew AL, et al. 2017. Growth and stress response mechanisms underlying post-feeding regenerative organ growth in the Burmese python. BMC Genomics. 18: 338.
- Bielawski JP, Yang Z 2004. A maximum likelihood method for detecting functional divergence at individual codon sites, with application to gene family evolution. J Mol Biol. 59: 121-132.
- Blomberg SP, Garland Jr T, Ives AR 2003. Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. Evolution. 57: 717-745.
- Bromham L 2011. The genome as a life-history character: why rate of molecular evolution varies between mammal species. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 366: 2503-2513.

Buffenstein R 2005. The naked mole-rat: a new long-living model for human aging research. J

Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 60: 1369-1377.

- Bult CJ, et al. 2014. Mouse Tumor Biology (MTB): a database of mouse models for human cancer. Nucleic Acid Res. 43: D818-D824.
- Castoe TA, et al. 2013. The Burmese python genome reveals the molecular basis for extreme adaptation in snakes. PNAS. 110: 20645-20650.

- Castoe TA, et al. 2009. Evidence for an ancient adaptive episode of convergent molecular evolution. PNAS. 106: 8986-8991.
- Caulin AF, Maley CC 2011. Peto's Paradox: evolution's prescription for cancer prevention. Trends Ecol Evol. 26: 175-182.
- Chene P 2001. The role of tetramerization in p53 function. Oncogene. 20: 2611-2617.
- Cork JM, Purugganan MD 2004. The evolution of molecular genetic pathways and networks. Bioessays. 26: 479-484.
- Crespi BJ, Summers K 2006. Positive selection in the evolution of cancer. Biological Reviews. 81: 407-424.
- dos Reis M, et al. 2012. Phylogenomic datasets provide both precision and accuracy in estimating the timescale of placental mammal phylogeny. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 279: 3491-3500.
- Dötsch V, Bernassola F, Coutandin D, Candi E, Melino G 2010. p63 and p73, the ancestors of p53. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. 2: a004887.
- Edgar RC 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatic.s 26: 2460-2461.
- Effron M, Griner L, Benirschke K 1977. Nature and rate of neoplasia found in captive wild mammals, birds, and reptiles at necropsy. J Natl Cancer Inst. 59: 185-198.
- Fang X, et al. 2014. Adaptations to a subterranean environment and longevity revealed by the analysis of mole rat genomes. Cell Reports. 8: 1354-1364.
- Finlay CA, Hinds PW, Levine AJ 1989. The p53 proto-oncogene can act as a suppressor of transformation. Cell. 57: 1083-1093.
- Fiordaliso F, et al. 2001. Hyperglycemia activates p53 and p53-regulated genes leading to myocyte cell death. Diabetes. 50: 2363-2375.
- Flowers JM, et al. 2007. Adaptive evolution of metabolic pathways in Drosophila. Mol Biol Evol. 24: 1347-1354.
- Gangloff EJ, Holden KG, Telemeco RS, Baumgard LH, Bronikowski AM 2016. Hormonal and metabolic responses to upper temperature extremes in divergent life-history ecotypes of a garter snake. J Exp Biol. jeb: 143107.
- García-Cao I, et al. 2002. 9Super p539 mice exhibit enhanced DNA damage response, are tumor resistant and age normally. EMBO J 21: 6225-6235.
- Garner MM, Hernandez-Divers SM, Raymond JT 2004. Reptile neoplasia: a retrospective study of case submissions to a specialty diagnostic service. Vet Clin North Am Exot Anim Pract. 7: 653-671.

- Gaur U, et al. 2017. Molecular evolutionary patterns of NAD+/Sirtuin aging signaling pathway across taxa. PloS one. 12: e0182306.
- Gillooly JF, Allen AP, West GB, Brown JH 2005. The rate of DNA evolution: effects of body size and temperature on the molecular clock. PNAS. 102: 140-145.
- Goldman N, Whelan S 2002. A novel use of equilibrium frequencies in models of sequence evolution. Mol Biol Evol. 19: 1821-1831.
- Goldman N, Yang Z 1994. A codon-based model of nucleotide substitution for protein-coding DNA sequences. Mol Biol Evol. 11: 725-736.
- Gorbunova V, Seluanov A, Zhang Z, Gladyshev VN, Vijg J 2014. Comparative genetics of longevity and cancer: insights from long-lived rodents. Nat Rev Genet. 15: 531-540.
- Hahn MW, Kern AD 2004. Comparative genomics of centrality and essentiality in three eukaryotic protein-interaction networks. Mol Biol Evol. 22: 803-806.
- Hastings PJ, Lupski JR, Rosenberg SM, Ira G 2009. Mechanisms of change in gene copy number. Nat Rev Genet. 10: 551.
- Hasty P, Campisi J, Sharp ZD 2016. Do p53 stress responses impact organismal aging? Translational Cancer Research. 5: 685-691.
- Hedges SB, Dudley J, Kumar S 2006. TimeTree: a public knowledge-base of divergence times among organisms. Bioinformatics. 22: 2971-2972.
- Hedges SB, Kumar S. 2009. The timetree of life: OUP Oxford.
- Holm S 1979. A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. Scand Stat Theory Appl. 6: 65-70.
- Hope AC 1968. A simplified Monte Carlo significance test procedure. J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol. 30: 582-598.
- Jobson RW, Nabholz B, Galtier N 2009. An evolutionary genome scan for longevity-related natural selection in mammals. Mol Biol Evol. 27: 840-847.
- Jones OR, et al. 2014. Diversity of ageing across the tree of life. Nature. 505: 169-173.
- Junier T, Zdobnov EM 2010. The Newick utilities: high-throughput phylogenetic tree processing in the UNIX shell. Bioinformatics. 26: 1669-1670.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S 2000. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acid Res. 28: 27-30.
- Kanfi Y, et al. 2012. The sirtuin SIRT6 regulates lifespan in male mice. Nature. 483: 218.
- Keane M, et al. 2015. Insights into the evolution of longevity from the bowhead whale genome. Cell Reports. 10: 112-122.

- Kim EB, et al. 2011. Genome sequencing reveals insights into physiology and longevity of the naked mole rat. Nature. 479: 223.
- Kimball RT, Wang N, Heimer-McGinn V, Ferguson C, Braun EL 2013. Identifying localized biases in large datasets: a case study using the avian tree of life. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 69: 1021-1032.
- Kosiol C, et al. 2008. Patterns of positive selection in six mammalian genomes. PLoS Genetics. 4: e1000144.
- Kruiswijk F, Labuschagne CF, Vousden KH 2015. p53 in survival, death and metabolic health: a lifeguard with a licence to kill. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 16: 393-405.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Suleski M, Hedges SB 2017. TimeTree: a resource for timelines, timetrees, and divergence times. Mol Biol Evol. 34: 1812-1819.
- Levine A, Hu W, Feng Z 2006. The P53 pathway: what questions remain to be explored? Cell death Differ. 13: 1027.
- Levine AJ, Oren M 2009. The first 30 years of p53: growing ever more complex. Nat Rev Cancer. 9: 749.
- Li L, Stoeckert CJ, Roos DS 2003. OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for eukaryotic genomes. Genome Research. 13: 2178-2189.
- Liu Y, Schmidt B, Maskell DL 2010. MSAProbs: multiple sequence alignment based on pair hidden Markov models and partition function posterior probabilities. Bioinformatics. 26: 1958-1964.
- MacRae SL, et al. 2015. Comparative analysis of genome maintenance genes in naked mole rat, mouse, and human. Aging Cell. 14: 288-291.
- Maier B, et al. 2004. Modulation of mammalian life span by the short isoform of p53. Gene Dev. 18: 306-319.
- McCormack JE, Hird SM, Zellmer AJ, Carstens BC, Brumfield RT 2013. Applications of nextgeneration sequencing to phylogeography and phylogenetics. Mol Phylogenet. Evol. 66: 526-538.
- McGaugh SE, et al. 2015. Rapid molecular evolution across amniotes of the IIS/TOR network. PNAS. 112: 7055-7060.
- Meadows JR, Lindblad-Toh K 2017. Dissecting evolution and disease using comparative vertebrate genomics. Nat Rev Genet. 18: 624.
- Muller HJ 1928. The measurement of gene mutation rate in Drosophila, its high variability, and its dependence upon temperature. Genetics 13: 279.

- Muller PA, Vousden KH 2014. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer cell 25: 304-317.
- Nagy JD, Victor EM, Cropper JH 2007. Why don't all whales have cancer? A novel hypothesis resolving Peto's paradox. Integr. Com Biol. 47: 317-328.
- Nunney L, Maley CC, Breen M, Hochberg ME, Schiffman JD. 2015. Peto's paradox and the promise of comparative oncology. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 370: 20140177.
- Ogata H, et al. 1999. KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acid Res. 27: 29-34.
- Ohta T 1992. The nearly neutral theory of molecular evolution. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst. 23: 263-286.
- Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K 2004. APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. Bioinformatics. 20: 289-290.
- Perelman P, et al. 2011. A molecular phylogeny of living primates. PLoS Genetics. 7: e1001342.
- Peto R, Roe F, Lee P, Levy L, Clack J 1975. Cancer and ageing in mice and men. BR J Cancer. 32: 411.
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D 2014. Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.
- Pintus SS, Fomin ES, Oshurkov IS, Ivanisenko VA 2007. Phylogenetic analysis of the p53 and p63/p73 gene families. In Silico Biology. 7: 319-332.
- Ramsay H, Rieseberg LH, Ritland K 2009. The correlation of evolutionary rate with pathway position in plant terpenoid biosynthesis. Mol Biol Evol. 26: 1045-1053.
- Rausher MD, Miller RE, Tiffin P 1999. Patterns of evolutionary rate variation among genes of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway. Mol Biol Evol. 16: 266-274.
- Reding DM, Addis EA, Palacios MG, Schwartz TS, Bronikowski AM 2016. Insulin-like signaling (IIS) responses to temperature, genetic background, and growth variation in garter snakes with divergent life histories. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 233: 88-99.
- Reinhardt HC, Schumacher B 2012. The p53 network: cellular and systemic DNA damage responses in aging and cancer. Trends in Genetics. 28: 128-136.
- Revell LJ 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). Methods Ecol Evol. 3: 217-223.
- Ricklefs RE 2010. Life-history connections to rates of aging in terrestrial vertebrates. PNAS. 107: 10314-10319.
- Robert KA, Bronikowski AM 2010. Evolution of senescence in nature: physiological evolution in populations of garter snake with divergent life histories. Am Nat. 175: 147-159.

- Schwartz T, Bronikowski A 2011. Molecular stress pathways and the evolution of life histories in reptiles. Molecular Mechanisms of Life History Evolution: 193-209.
- Seim I, et al. 2013. Genome analysis reveals insights into physiology and longevity of the Brandt's bat Myotis brandtii. Nat Commun. 4: 2212.
- Shaffer HB, et al. 2013. The western painted turtle genome, a model for the evolution of extreme physiological adaptations in a slowly evolving lineage. Genome Biol. 14: R28.
- Sivakumar H, Hespanha JP, Roh K, Proulx SR 2017. The evolution of p53 network behavior. bioRxiv: 098376.
- Sulak M, et al. 2016. TP53 copy number expansion is associated with the evolution of increased body size and an enhanced DNA damage response in elephants. elife 5: e11994.
- Tacutu R, et al. 2012. Human Ageing Genomic Resources: integrated databases and tools for the biology and genetics of ageing. Nucleic Acid Res. 41: D1027-D1033.
- Talavera G, Castresana J 2007. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Syst. Biol. 56: 564-577.
- Thomson RC, Shaffer HB 2010. Rapid progress on the vertebrate tree of life. BMC Biology. 8: 19.
- Tollis M, Boddy AM, Maley CC 2017a. Peto's Paradox: how has evolution solved the problem of cancer prevention? BMC Biology. 15: 60.
- Tollis M, Schiffman JD, Boddy AM 2017b. Evolution of cancer suppression as revealed by mammalian comparative genomics. Curr Opin Genetics Dev. 42: 40-47.
- Tyner SD, et al. 2002. p53 mutant mice that display early ageing-associated phenotypes. Nature. 415: 45-53.
- Ungewitter E, Scrable H 2009. Antagonistic pleiotropy and p53. Mech Aging Dev. 130: 10-17.
- van Breukelen F, Martin SL 2015. The hibernation continuum: physiological and molecular aspects of metabolic plasticity in mammals. Physiology. 30: 273-281.
- Van Meter M, Mao Z, Gorbunova V, Seluanov A 2011. Repairing split ends: SIRT6, mono-ADP ribosylation and DNA repair. Aging (Albany NY) 3: 829.
- Vazquez JM, Sulak M, Chigurupati S, Lynch VJ 2018. A zombie LIF gene in elephants is upregulated by TP53 to induce apoptosis in response to DNA damage. Cell Reports. 24: 1765-1776.
- Waldman YY, Tuller T, Keinan A, Ruppin E 2011. Selection for translation efficiency on synonymous polymorphisms in recent human evolution. Genome Biol Evol. 3: 749-761.
- Wasylishen AR, Lozano G 2016. Attenuating the p53 pathway in human cancers: many means to the same end. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine. 6: a026211.

- Weadick CJ, Chang BS 2012. Complex patterns of divergence among green-sensitive (RH2a) African cichlid opsins revealed by Clade model analyses. BMC Evol Biol. 12: 206.
- Wernersson R, Pedersen AG 2003. RevTrans: multiple alignment of coding DNA from aligned amino acid sequences. Nucleic Acid Res. 31: 3537-3539.
- Wiens JJ, et al. 2012. Resolving the phylogeny of lizards and snakes (Squamata) with extensive sampling of genes and species. Biology Lett. rsbl20120703.
- Wiley CD, Campisi J 2016. From ancient pathways to aging cells—connecting metabolism and cellular senescence. Cell Metabolism. 23: 1013-1021.
- Wright KM, Rausher MD 2010. The evolution of control and distribution of adaptive mutations in a metabolic pathway. Genetics 184: 483-502.
- Yang A, Kaghad M, Caput D, McKeon F 2002. On the shoulders of giants: p63, p73 and the rise of p53. Trends in Genetics. 18: 90-95.
- Yang Z 2007. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol Biol Evol. 24: 1586-1591.
- Yang Z, Bielawski JP 2000. Statistical methods for detecting molecular adaptation. Trends Ecol Evol. 15: 496-503.
- Zhang J, Nielsen R, Yang Z 2005. Evaluation of an improved branch-site likelihood method for detecting positive selection at the molecular level. Mol Biol Evol. 22: 2472-2479.



Figure 1. Visualization of the *p53*-signaling network modified from the KEGG Pathway. Included in this figure are the 45 proteins used in this study. These are 42 of 58 in the KEGG p53 pathway (Ogata, et al. 1999) plus three genes (*p63, sirt6* and *mapk14*) that are not in the KEGG *p53*-signaling network, but are associated with the *p53* gene and were included as "outside the *p53* network." For simplicity, we use the short-hand "*p53* network" within the text to refer to all of these 45 focal genes analyzed. Arrows after DNA correspond to all "downstream genes" in the network, whereas we considered genes upstream of this point to be "upstream genes". Each color corresponds to the functional categories; green corresponds to genes associated with p53 regulation, blue are transcription factors, pink are genes involved with cell cycle, purple apoptosis, light orange inhibit IIS:TOR, dark green inhibit angiogenesis, teal DNA damage repair, yellow exosome, and orange p53 feedback. An asterisk next to a gene in the network gene indicates that the gene is part of multiple functional classifications (based on the KEGG *p53* pathway; Ogata et al. 1999).



Figure 2. Rooted cladogram. The cladogram is based on a previous published study (McGaugh, et al. 2015) showing the phylogenetic relationships among all the species (both mammals and sauropids) included in this study. Analyses were conducted in PAML with an unrooted cladogram except where otherwise noted.



Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/gbe/advance-article-abstract/doi/10.1093/gbe/evy273/5298316 by Texas A&M University user on 22 February 2019

Figure 3. **Phylogenetic heat maps for significant genes in the** *p53* **network.** Depicted are heat maps for **A**. Branch-site tests, and **B**. Clade model C tests. Visualization of the *p53* genes that were significant for positive selection (branch-site) or divergent molecular evolution (clade model C) based on sequential Bonferroni corrected p-values. In the *p53* network, genes were grouped based on functional classification and whether they were upstream or downstream in the network. If a gene is colored white, then there was no sequence available for that group.





CMC Test vs Life Span

Table 1. *p53* **network genes, gene names, and number of focal species used for each gene.** Reported are the gene names (symbols are HGNC gene symbols), functional classes, and protein descriptions of the 45 genes analyzed here that are associated with the *p53* pathway. We also report the number of focal species used for each gene (out of the total 66 species).

Gene	Functional class	Protein description	Focal number of species
ATM	p53 Regulation	ATM serine/threonine kinase	64
ATR	p53 Regulation	ATR serine/threonine kinase	65
MAPK14	p53 Regulation	Mitogen-activated protein kinase 14	58
CHEK1	p53 Regulation	Checkpoint kinase 1	62
CHEK2	p53 Regulation	Checkpoint kinase 2	51
MDM2	p53 Regulation /P53 feedback	MDM2 proto-oncogene, E3 ubiquitin protein ligase	66
MDM4	p53 Regulation	MDM4, p53 regulator	63
SIRT6	p53 Regulation	Sirtuin 6	60
P53	Transcription	Tumor protein p53	52
P63	Transcription	Tumor protein p63	47
CDKN1A	Cell Cycle	Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (p21, Cip1)	62
CCND1	Cell Cycle	Cyclin D1	62
CCND2	Cell Cycle	Cyclin D2	52
CCNE1	Cell Cycle	Cyclin E1	58
SFN	Cell Cycle	Stratifin	45
GADD45G	Cell Cycle /DNA damage repair	Growth arrest and DNA-damage- inducible, gamma	48
GTSE1	Cell Cycle	G-2 and S-phase expressed 1	49
FAS	Apoptosis	Fas cell surface death receptor	54
CASP8	Apoptosis	Caspase 8, apoptosis-related cysteine	50

		peptidase	
BID	Apoptosis	BH3 interacting domain death agonist	62
PIDD	Apoptosis	P53-induced death domain protein 1	53
BAX	Apoptosis	BCL2-associated X protein	46
EI24	Apoptosis	Etoposide induced 2.4	66
SHISA5	Apoptosis	Shisa family member 5	59
PERP	Apoptosis	PERP, TP53 apoptosis effector	64
ZMAT3	Apoptosis	Zing finger, matrin-type 3	53
SIAH1	Apoptosis	Siah E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1	64
CYC	Apoptosis	Cytochrome c, somatic	59
APAF1	Apoptosis	Apoptotic peptidase activating factor 1	64
CASP9	Apoptosis	Caspase 9, apoptosis-related cysteine peptidase	50
CASP3	Apoptosis	Caspase 3, apoptosis-related cysteine peptidase	60
IGFBP3	Apoptosis/Inhibit IIS/TOR	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3	58
IGF1	Inhibit IIS:TOR	Insulin-like growth factor 1	58
PTEN	Inhibit IIS:TOR	Phosphatase and tensin homolog	66
TSC2	Inhibit IIS:TOR	Tuberous sclerosis 2	66
SERPINE1	Inhibit angiogenesis	Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade E (nexin, plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1)	49
SERPINb5	Inhibit angiogenesis	Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade B (ovalbumin), member 5	47
P48 (DDB2)	DNA damage repair	Damage specific DNA binding protein 2	62
RRM2b	DNA damage repair	Ribonucleotide reductase M2 B	58

		(TP53 inducible)	
SESN3	DNA damage repair	Sestrin 3	62
STEAP3	Exosome	STEAP family member 3, metalloreductase	64
RFWD2	P53 feedback	Ring finger and WD repeat domain 2, E3 ubiquitin protein ligase	66
RCHY1	P53 feedback	Ring finger and CHY zinc finger domain containing 1, E3 ubiquitin protein ligase	52
CCNG1	P53 feedback	Cyclin G1	62
PPM1D	P53 feedback	Protein phosphatase, Mg ²⁺ Mn ²⁺ dependent, 1D	65